

The Sanford Herald

AN INDEPENDENT DAILY NEWSPAPER

THE WEATHER

Fair tonight and Sunday with
possible light frost tonight.
Windy Sunday.

VOLUME XXI

Leased Wire International News Service

SANFORD, FLORIDA, SATURDAY, JAN. 1, 1930.

Five Cents A Copy

NUMBER 91.

BIG PROBLEMS FACE SOLONS AFTER RECESS

**Prohibition, Railroad
Consolidation And
Tariff To Feature
Legislative Work**

WASHINGTON, Jan. 1.— Prohibition, railroad consolidation and tariff to feature legislative work.

When the House and Senate assemble Monday, they will face six or seven months of legislative activity before the first session ends, they will have to deal with the prohibition problem with its intricate and highlyrimonious, some over enforcement; enact the pending tariff bill, dispose of the railroad consolidation question and face a dozen other equally important issues.

The annual supply bill, carrying about \$1,500,000,000 to finance the government next year must be enacted too, the House having passed but two thus far and the Senate having acted at none. The prohibition "cow" will come to the fore when the treasury appropriation bill is considered, since it carries the funds for the prohibition unit, the coast guard and the border patrols, all intimately identified with prohibition enforcement.

In the Senate, the tariff will have the right of way until passed, after that, the rewritten Senate bill must return to conference to iron out differences with the House. Administration leaders hope to send the measure to conference by the end of February and to have it written into law by April.

The prohibition controversy will hold the spotlight continuously though a congressional committee will be created within a week or two to pass upon all additional legislation needed to improve prohibition enforcement. It will be this committee's duty to sponsor legislation carrying out the recommendations of President Hoover's law enforcement commission.

County Schools To Reopen Following Christmas Recess

After a two weeks' Christmas and New Year's vacation, students of Seminole and Brevard County schools will resume their respective classes Monday morning, it was announced today by T. W. Lawton, superintendent.

Mr. Lawton said that the names of the schools will remain the same where they left off at the close of school Friday afternoon on Dec. 20, and students are urged to remember that the holidays never ever end that classes begin promptly at the usual hour Monday morning.

While the graded schools and the three lower classes of the high school will probably take the next five months as a matter of course, the members of the graduating class of Seminole High are expecting to follow in the footsteps of their predecessors and end their public school careers with a final period of hard work filled with accomplishments, according to Charles Butler, president of the senior class, who said that his classmates will be busy in presenting their annual play in time in January, and also engaged in the task of publishing the school magazine.

The class also contemplates taking charge of the presentation of The Herald one day more last, he said, and during the closing days of the school term there will be picnics, socials, fairs, banquets and other entertainments bidding farewells.

GENDS WEDDING GIFT

WASHINGTON, Jan. 1 (INS)— As a wedding gift to Prince Humbert of Italy, and Princess Marie of Belgium, the President and Mrs. Hoover are sending to Rome a beautifully matched African-Alaskan panther. The gift was selected because of its peculiarly American character. The skins are dressed but not made up.

Decottes Files Petition For Change Of Venue In Case Against The Herald

13 Affidavits Support
Petition; One Signed
By C.W.Entzminger

People Prejudiced?



George A. Decottes, attorney who filed suit against Judge Wallace W. Entzminger.

Decottes, who filed suit against Judge Wallace W. Entzminger, attorney for the newspaper, it would be best for him to be seated in another city. He said:

"It would be best for me to be seated in another city. I have been told that the people here are prejudiced against me."

The petition was signed by 13 affidavits, including the affidavits of the 12 jurors who found the defendant guilty, and the affidavit of the former City Attorney, C. W. Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition.

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

Entzminger, who signed his name to the petition, said:

"I am signing this affidavit to support the petition of Mr. Decottes. I am signing it because I am prejudiced against him."

</div

Social And Personal Activities

MISS MARGARET PETERS, Society Editor

Office Telephone 148.

Social Calendar

MONDAY

Circle Number Seven of the First Baptist Church will meet at 3 o'clock with Mrs. C. H. Carr, 205 Magnolia Avenue. The Dependable Class of the First Methodist Church will hold business meeting at 6:30 o'clock in the home of Mrs. Harold Appleby, 2001 Washington Avenue.

Circle Number Six of the First Baptist Church will meet at 3:30 o'clock with Mrs. B. C. Moore at her home, 2508 Elm Avenue, at 3 o'clock.

A business meeting of the Women's Missionary Society of the First Methodist Church will be held at the church at 3 o'clock. Circle Number Two of the First Baptist Church will meet at 3 o'clock with Mrs. Vida Williams at her home, 1517 Magnolia Avenue.

Circle Number Five of the First Baptist Church will meet at 3:30 o'clock with Mrs. Sanford Douglass at her home in Fort Verde. Members will meet with Mrs. Jack Cleveland at her home on Palmetto Avenue preparatory to voting.

Circle Number One of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. G. Brown, chairman, will meet with Mrs. R. A. Clark, 339 West Beale Street at 3 o'clock.

Circle Number Three of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. W. Cooper, chairman, will meet with Mrs. A. C. Fort, 216 West Fourth Street at 3 o'clock.

Circle Number Four of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. W. L. Erickson, chairman, will meet with Mr. L. A. Brunner at her home on Cedar Avenue at 3:30 o'clock.

Circle Number Five of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. Otto Marshall, chairman, will meet with Mrs. Marshall, East Second Street at 3 o'clock with Mrs. G. Stewart as associate hostess.

Circle Number Six of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. Henry McCraugh, chairman, will meet with Mrs. G. H. Paxton, 910 Myrtle Avenue at 3 o'clock.

Circle Number Seven of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. J. Ridge, chairman, will meet with Mrs. Clyde Byrd, 161 Meltonville Avenue, at 3:30 o'clock.

Circle Number Eight of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. D. Thrasher, chairman, will meet at 3:30 o'clock with Mrs. J. N. Mohr, 210 West Tenth Street.

Circle Number Nine of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. A. L. Wallace, chairman, will meet with Mrs. Mary Pulerton, 501 Magnolia Avenue at 3:30 o'clock.

Circle Number Ten of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Miss Perry Lee Bell, chairman, will meet with Mrs. W. L. Henly, 308 West Beale Street, at 3 o'clock in Orlando.

Miss Elizabeth Shandauer returned by steamer to Lakeland from New York City.

Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Dennis will make another visit this weekend at the home of Mr. and Mrs. A. D. Shandauer, who recently came from Cuba to their home on Magnolia Avenue.

Mr. and Mrs. Sidney J. Dix invited Thursday evening after visiting the latter's parents in Jefferson, Ga. Accompanying them as far as Rome, Ga., were their daughters, Miss Mildred Dix and Miss Charlotte Dix who are attending Shorter College there.

John and Walter Bruce spent Christmas Day with their parents Mr. and Mrs. Jay Brice at their home in East Sanford, after spending several months in Bell-Glade where they were employed with the Brown Farming Company.

Mr. M. A. Warren is at his home on Sunday from Cleveland, Ohio to spend some time visiting at the home of Mr. and Mrs. E. Chorning, and to join his husband, who is also on vacation.

Mrs. Horace Chorning arrived on Sunday from Cleveland, Ohio to spend some time visiting at the home of Mr. and Mrs. E. Chorning, and to join her husband, who is also on vacation.

John and Walter Bruce spent Christmas Day with their parents Mr. and Mrs. Jay Brice at their home in East Sanford, after spending several months in Bell-Glade where they were employed with the Brown Farming Company.

Mr. and Mrs. C. W. Thompson and little daughter, Annette, of San Fran, Cal., are the guests of Mr. and Mrs. R. F. Cooper and Mr. Annie Chapman.

Mr. and Mrs. R. F. Cooper and Mrs. Annie Chapman and guests, Mr. and Mrs. Carey Marford, Mr. and Mrs. C. W. Tilson, Mr. Claude Jackson, Mr. and Mrs. A. A. Turington and family of Selbyburg, N. C., Dr. J. E. Taylor and Mrs. Annie Cooper on Cameron Avenue left for their home Wednesday morning.

Mr. and Mrs. C. W. Thompson and little daughter, Annette, of San Fran, Cal., are the guests of Mr. and Mrs. R. F. Cooper and Mr. Annie Chapman.

The following officers were elected to serve during the coming year: Robert True, president; Leon Page, vice-president; Mrs. Harry Wing, secretary; and Mrs. Leon Pickering, treasurer.

Refreshments were served to the large crowd reported to be present and the meeting was brought to a close.

HOWEY IN THE HILLS—Florida Public Service Company making improvements to distribution line here.

PAULINE GARON



Personals

Evans Spence and George Stoenoff left Friday morning for New York City where they will work for some time.

The Rev. Fred A. Richey and party of Tampa are the guests of Mrs. J. E. Spurlock at her home on Mellonville Avenue.

Mr. and Mrs. Mar. A. H. Freeman announce the birth of a son, Irvin Va. Burn, on Thursday, Jan. 2 at their home on Palmview Avenue.

Mr. and Mrs. F. E. Bonnifield have returned from St. Augustine where they were called this week by the death of the latter's mother.

Miss Frances Reitz is able to be out again after undergoing an operation for appendicitis at the Fernald-Laughton Memorial Hospital this morning.

Dick Sneed, son of Mr. and Mrs. John W. Sneed, is getting along nicely after an operation for appendicitis at the Fernald-Laughton Memorial Hospital this morning.

Miss Minnie Beck expects to leave Sunday for Bristol, Va. where she will remain her father's care.

Miss Garon is a young girl, Helen Costello, who soon will become the wife of Lowell Sibley.

Miss Garon was divorced by Mrs. A. C. Fort, 216 West Fourth Street at 3 o'clock.

Circle Number Four of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. W. L. Erickson, chairman, will meet with Mr. L. A. Brunner at her home on Cedar Avenue at 3:30 o'clock.

Circle Number Five of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. Otto Marshall, chairman, will meet with Mrs. Marshall, East Second Street at 3 o'clock with Mrs. G. Stewart as associate hostess.

Circle Number Six of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. Henry McCraugh, chairman, will meet with Mrs. G. H. Paxton, 910 Myrtle Avenue at 3 o'clock.

Circle Number Seven of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. J. Ridge, chairman, will meet with Mrs. Clyde Byrd, 161 Meltonville Avenue, at 3:30 o'clock.

Circle Number Eight of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. D. Thrasher, chairman, will meet at 3:30 o'clock with Mrs. J. N. Mohr, 210 West Tenth Street.

Circle Number Nine of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Mrs. A. L. Wallace, chairman, will meet with Mrs. Mary Pulerton, 501 Magnolia Avenue at 3:30 o'clock.

Circle Number Ten of the Presbyterian Auxiliary, Miss Perry Lee Bell, chairman, will meet with Mrs. W. L. Henly, 308 West Beale Street, at 3 o'clock in Orlando.

Miss Elizabeth Shandauer returned by steamer to Lakeland from New York City.

Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Dennis will make another visit this weekend at the home of Mr. and Mrs. A. D. Shandauer, who recently came from Cuba to their home on Magnolia Avenue.

Mr. and Mrs. Sidney J. Dix invited Thursday evening after visiting the latter's parents in Jefferson, Ga. Accompanying them as far as Rome, Ga., were their daughters, Miss Mildred Dix and Miss Charlotte Dix who are attending Shorter College there.

John and Walter Bruce spent Christmas Day with their parents Mr. and Mrs. Jay Brice at their home in East Sanford, after spending several months in Bell-Glade where they were employed with the Brown Farming Company.

Mr. and Mrs. C. W. Thompson and little daughter, Annette, of San Fran, Cal., are the guests of Mr. and Mrs. R. F. Cooper and Mr. Annie Chapman.

The following officers were elected to serve during the coming year: Robert True, president; Leon Page, vice-president; Mrs. Harry Wing, secretary; and Mrs. Leon Pickering, treasurer.

Refreshments were served to the large crowd reported to be present and the meeting was brought to a close.

HOWEY IN THE HILLS—Florida Public Service Company making improvements to distribution line here.

Mrs. Lovejoy Gives Party At Her Home

Mrs. A. B. Lovejoy entertained the members of the T. E. L. Class of the First Baptist Church on Thursday afternoon with a "Year in an Afternoon" social in which the four seasons were represented by small decorative tables.

Mr. R. W. Ware presided at the winter table which held a small log cabin and a Christmas tree placed in a snow scene. The spring table, with Mrs. D. R. Beck, in charge, was centered with a vase of roses while the summer table represented the time of the year with ferns and narcissus.

Mrs. W. P. Brooks Jr. presided at this table and conducted poetical and Biblical contests. The autumn table had a stand of fruit, nuts and grapes, surrounded by Fall leaves, and was presided over by Mrs. F. W. Stanley. At each table games and contests, suggestive of the various seasons, were participated in by the guests.

Refreshments were then served by the hostess, Mrs. A. B. Lovejoy, assisted by Mrs. R. W. Ware and Mrs. W. P. Brooks Jr. Those present were: Mrs. C. W. Farster, Mrs. Jane B. Smith, Mrs. W. L. Stoudemire, Mrs. A. Ken Rossett, Mrs. J. V. Johnson, Mrs. F. W. Stanley, Mrs. L. E. Tew, Mrs. A. B. Lovejoy, Mrs. W. P. Brooks Jr., Mrs. R. W. Ware, Mrs. R. L. Garrison, Mrs. B. R. Nix, Mrs. J. A. Strange, Mrs. S. J. Nix, Mrs. F. R. Savage, Mrs. J. A. Cunningham, Mrs. Gordon Flynn, Mrs. Lillian Vickery, Mrs. L. Brothman, Mrs. R. L. Milam, Mrs. J. M. Wilson, Mrs. L. J. McNair, Mrs. C. D. Forster, Mrs. J. R. Boyd, Mrs. O. E. Webb and the Misses August Fletcher, Mary Goodale, Emily Boyd, Marion Webb and Edith Lovejoy.

At The Churches

ALL SOULS CATHOLIC CHURCH
Mass, 9:00 A. M.
Confession, 9:45 A. M.

FRESH METHODIST MISSION
Poplar Avenue between Second and Third Streets.
Preaching, 11:00 A. M.
Pastor, Kate H. Booth.

FULL GOSPEL TABERNACLE
State Highway near Geneva.
Sunday School, 10:00 A. M.
Sunday afternoon service, 2:30 P. M.
Evening services, 7:45 P. M.
The Rev. Fred A. Richey and party in charge.

HOLY CROSS CHURCH
The Rev. Mortimer Glover, Rector.
Second Sunday after Christmas
Holy Communion, 7:30 A. M.
Church School, 9:30 A. M.
Morning Prayer and Sermon, 11: A. M.
Young Peoples Service League, 6:00 P. M.
Evening Prayer & Sermon, 7:30 P. M.

CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH
Corner Park Avenue and Third St.
Rev. John Bernard, Rector.
Minister, Church School, 9:45.

A graded school with classes for all ages.

Morning Worship, 11:00.
Junior Endevor Society at 6:00, Senior Endevor Society at 7:00, Sidney Vichler, President. Subject: "Does the Community need the Christian Club?"

FIRST CHURCH OF CHRIST SCIENTIST
Christian Science services are held every Sunday morning at the church, 500 East Second Street at 11 o'clock. Subject for tomorrow, "God." Wednesday evening services which include testimonies of Christian Science healing will be held at the new church at 800 E. 2nd St. at 8 o'clock.

This church maintains a free reading room in the church where the Bible and all authorized Christian Science literature may be read, borrowed or purchased. Open Tuesdays and Fridays from 3 to 5 P. M.

All are welcome to attend the services and to make use of the reading room.

THE FIRST METHODIST CHURCH

W. P. Bohrman, Pastor.
Sunday school, 9:30 A. M.
Prof. McKay and Mr. Sneed, superintendents.

Preaching, 11: A. M. by the pastor, A. NEW YEAR MESSAGE.

Epworth League, devotional meetings, 6:30 P. M.
Evening preaching service, 7:30 o'clock; A MESSAGE FROM THE WORDS OF JESUS.

Prayer meeting, Wednesday, Meeting of the Board of Stewards, Monday, 7:30 P. M.

It is suggested that by all considerations it would be a good, wholesome, and cheering thing for all of our people to attend our preaching services, this first Sunday in the New Year.

Christmas Holidays Are Ending For Sanford's Contingent Of Students

With the close of the Christmas season and the various holidays which accompany it, the contingent of young people from colleges, universities, boarding schools and military academies is gradually returning to studies and campus life until the coming of other holidays in the Spring.

The majority of Sanford students who have been here with their parents during the past two weeks will leave Sunday for their schools, which open Monday morning.

Refreshments were then served by the hostess, Mrs. A. B. Lovejoy, assisted by Mrs. R. W. Ware and Mrs. W. P. Brooks Jr. Those present were: Mrs. C. W. Farster, Mrs. Jane B. Smith, Mrs. W. L. Stoudemire, Mrs. A. Ken Rossett, Mrs. J. V. Johnson, Mrs. F. W. Stanley, Mrs. L. E. Tew, Mrs. A. B. Lovejoy, Mrs. W. P. Brooks Jr., Mrs. R. W. Ware, Mrs. R. L. Garrison, Mrs. B. R. Nix, Mrs. J. A. Strange, Mrs. S. J. Nix, Mrs. F. R. Savage, Mrs. J. A. Cunningham, Mrs. Gordon Flynn, Mrs. Lillian Vickery, Mrs. L. Brothman, Mrs. R. L. Milam, Mrs. J. M. Wilson, Mrs. L. J. McNair, Mrs. C. D. Forster, Mrs. J. R. Boyd, Mrs. O. E. Webb and the Misses August Fletcher, Mary Goodale, Emily Boyd, Marion Webb and Edith Lovejoy.

Charles Cogburn, who also attended clearing between here and Nome, pilot of the two Fairchild airplanes which will aid in the search for Carl Ben Eielson and Earl Boenland missing since Nov. 9, hoped to fly today.

A third plane, which crashed while taking off several days ago, will be replaced by one to be shipped from the United States immediately.

Major Decker announced Eielson and Boenland have been missing since they

attempted to fly to the aid of the ice bound fur ship Nanuk.

Fliers Will Continue Search For Eielson

3 Men Are Buried As 4 Floors Collapse

FAIRBANKS, Alaska, Jan. 4—(INS)

Three men were buried in a mass of wreckage and two others were injured today when four floors of a five-story building at 182 South Street collapsed. Police and fire emergency squads were rushed to the scene, and efforts were begun at once to locate the buried men.

The building is occupied by W. A. Rinaldi and Company, Tohs of paper were stored in the structure.

A third plane, which crashed while taking off several days ago, will be replaced by one to be shipped from the United States immediately.

Major Decker announced Eielson and Boenland have been missing since they

attempted to fly to the aid of the ice bound fur ship Nanuk.

Creta CARBO
Conrad Nagel with Holmes Herbert
THE KISS
Mary Goldwynne Hayes

The flaming orchid, whose seductive personality has won her tremendous popularity, has here a vehicle perfectly tailored to her beauty and talents!

Added

Christie Talking Comedy Paramount Sound News

Sunday MILANE THEATRE Monday

COMING—
Tuesday—Wednesday—Thursday—

THE COCK EYED WORLD
A WILLIAM FOX MONTLTON MURKIN
VICTOR MCAGEN EDMUND LOWE ILLY DAMITA

Book Lovers' Club Is Entertained Friday

Red and green were the predominating colors used for decorations when Mrs. K. S. Dutton entertained the members of the Book Lovers' Club at luncheon on Friday afternoon at 1 o'clock at her home on Magnolia Avenue.

The long luncheon table was covered with a linen cloth and centered with a large bowl of gherkins and corn in shades of red and green. The place cards bore a design repeating the chosen color motif, while in other parts of the room, where the guests were entertained, a profusion of gherkins and corn also in the same colors, was arranged.

Luncheon was served in two courses by Miss Francis Dutton immediately after the arrival of the guests. The afternoon was spent by the members with discussions of current books, after which Mrs. Melaulin read a short book.

Members attending were: Mrs. A. E. Hill, Mrs. Mattie G. Price, Mrs. Henry McLain, Mrs. J. P. Morse, Mrs. John C. Bennett, Mrs. R. J. Holly and Mrs. Frank L. Woodruff.

All are welcome to attend the services and to make use of the reading room.</

THE RADIO TOWER
TONIGHT'S PROGRAMS

SATURDAY, JAN. 4

Programs in eastern standard time. All time is P. M., unless otherwise indicated. Wave-lengths on left of call letters, kilocycles on right.

364.3—WEAF New York—650 (NBC Chain)

6, Black and Gold, Room orchestra; 7, Family Goes Abroad; 7:30, Phil Sitalay's music; 8, NBC Business World; 8:30, Laundromat Lyrich; 9, Walter Damrosch symphony orchestra and Floyd Gibbons; 10, B. A. Rolfe and his dance orchestra; 11, Troubadour of the Moon; 11:15, Abe Lyman's orchestra; 12, Rudy VaLee's orchestra in an hour of dancing.

348.6—WAHC New York—850 (CBS Chain)

6, Music; Vespers; 6:30, Nil Wits; 7, Ambassadors, Levitt ensemble; 7:30, Orchestra; 8, Exploring the Jungle; 8:15, Finance period; 8:30, Dixie Echoes; 9, Mr. and Mrs. Joe and Vi; 9:30, Hadley orchestra; 10, Movies hour; 11, Lombardo's orchestra; 11:15, Hotel orchestra; 12, Ben Polack's orchestra, midnight organ medley.

394.7—WJZ New York—750 (NBC Chain)

6, Piano, The Guardsmen, orchestra; 7, Amus 'n' Andy; 7:15, Vincent Lopez hotel dance orchestra; 8, The Pickard Family, Southern songs; 8:30, The Silver Suite; 9, Broadway Lights, Club Report; 9:30, Minstrels; 10, Chinese Civic Opera; 11, Slumber music by string ensemble (1 hour).

272.6—WPG Atlantic City—1100

8, News, concert orchestra; 8:15, Musical programs; 10, Dance music (2 hrs.).

422.3—WOR Newark—710

6:10, Talk, piano, Uncle Don; 7, Sports, New York university talk; 7:30, Half Seas Over, talk; 8:15, Saturday Knights; 9, Dance orchestra (2 hrs.); 11, News, dance, Moonbeams.

365.9—KDKA Pittsburgh—980

6, University of Pittsburgh programs; 6:30, WJZ (46 min.), orchestra; 7:30, Chorus, Entertainer; 8, WJZ, programs (3 hrs.); 12, Messages to Commander Byrd.

379.5—WGY Schenectady—750

6, Dinner music; 7, WEAF programs (4 hrs.); 11, Dance music hour.

428.3—WLW Cincinnati—700

6, Orchestra (30 min.); WJZ; 7, orchestra, scrap book; 8, stories; Saturday Knights; 9, feature (30 min.); 10, dance music hour; 11, Hawaiians; Jack Little (3 hrs.).

405.2—WSB Atlanta—710

6:30, Sunday school lesson; 7, Brown's orchestra; 7:30, concert program; 8, WEAF programs (4 hrs.); 11:15, WSB Skylark.

365.6—WHAS Louisville—820

7, Studio concert; features; 8:30, same as WEAF (2 1/2 hrs.); 11, Greater Louisville ensemble; 12, dance music hour.

161.3—WSM Nashville—650

7, WEAF (30 min.); Sunday school; 8, barn dance; 8:30, same as WEAF (2 1/2 hrs.); 9, Barn dance features (1 hrs.).

Rollins College To Debate Kentucky Team Here Monday

An announcement was made this morning that the Rollins College debating team will compete against the team representing the University of Kentucky here on the Seminole High School auditorium Monday evening at 8:30. Mr. G. E. McKay, principal of the local high school, said that the debate is expected to be of interest to Sanford, as it will be one of the few debates being conducted by the two college throughout the state.

Special seats will be reserved for citizens of the city and county if they desire to attend. No admission price will further be charged.

The letter which was received by Mr. McKay this morning informed him of the audience's desire to hold their debate in Sanford as printed as follows:

January 2, 1929

Mr. G. E. McKay,
Principal, Seminole High School,
Sanford, Florida.

"My Dear Mr. McKay:

We are very glad to have the opportunity of holding a debate between your high school and ours and we assure you that we would be most pleased to cooperate."

The Rollins College debating team will debate the University of Kentucky International Debate team on the question "Resolved That the future Rollins team from Seminole High School and College should be Admitted." Our students will meet at the Auditorium at 8:30 o'clock Monday evening.

The debaters hope to make the debate educational as well as interesting and we are sure that it will be profitable to you all.

Very truly yours,
Katherine Low,
Chairwoman, Pub. Comm.

THE MOTORISTS' PAGE

76 New Improvements Are Found On 1930 Model Of Chevrolet Now On Display

13-Affidavits Support Petition; One Signed By C.W.Entzinger

(Continued from page 11)

2. That the above-stated cause was tried in the above court during the last term of said court, said trial lasting for a period of approximately three weeks, and ending in a mistrial.

3. That each and every of the counts in plaintiff's declaration is set forth and declares upon the oath of the defendant, as certain articles therein recited, that the declaration of the plaintiff, the defending defendant, a plan of malice, and to effect, whereby each and every of the articles complained of in his declaration is untrue and false; except that the evidence adduced at the trial of said cause during the last term of court on behalf of the defendant was admitted solely for the purpose of the consideration of the general public, as well received, belief that the plaintiff's guilty of the various and sundry charges repeatedly made and asserted against him in the columns of the newspaper published by the defendant that during the trial of said cause at the last term of Court, the defendant by the plea of guilty, filed in the columns of his newspaper, a full and complete stenographic report of question and answer form of all of the testimony adduced at said trial, both for the plaintiff and the defendant, and each day in connection with said stenographic report carried on in leading and captured article of the day, so the general public of his newspaper with six headings, a story of the proceedings had in the case for that day which news article so published on the front pages of his newspaper on many instances carried misleading leads, and the substance of the news article were in many instances erroneous and conflict in their construction and your petitioner often to presume as well when required for the consideration of the Court, in connection with this petition for change of venue, the various hemispherical sports and news article published by the defendant daily during the course of the three weeks prior to the trial while said case was being tried at the last term of Court.

4. That the defendant is publishing corporately a daily newspaper known as "The Sanford Herald," having a general circulation throughout the City of Sanford, County of Seminole and State of Florida; that the newspaper published by the defendant daily during the course of the three weeks prior to the trial while said case was being tried at the last term of Court.

5. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to overbear the defendant so as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

6. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

7. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

8. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

9. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

10. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

11. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

12. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

13. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

14. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

15. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

16. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

17. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

18. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

19. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

20. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

21. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

22. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

23. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

24. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

25. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

26. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

27. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

28. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

29. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

30. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

31. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

32. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

33. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

34. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

35. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

36. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

37. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

38. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

39. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

40. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

41. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

42. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

43. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

44. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

45. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

46. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

47. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

48. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

49. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

50. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

51. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere with the defense of his cause.

52. That during the entire trial of said cause, assuming a period of approximately three weeks, the courtroom was crowded practically at all times with spectators and during the type of argument or comment for the respective parties litigant, said courtroom was so crowded as to interfere

